

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,049	09/15/2003	Michael Steven Pickard	8285-633 2583		
7:	590 07/28/2005	EXAMINER			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0.110.100, 12		2642			
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/664,04		PICKARD ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		William J.		2642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day. Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	18 April 2005.					
		This action is n	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)				• .		
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do  Notice of Informal F  Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,049

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the claims are ambiguous. From the claims, it is unclear whether the list is sent only once, for example, when a user plugs the phone jack into the wall or only the first time the receiver goes off hook. Is it applicants' intention, that each time the receiver goes off-hook, a call is made to the system or database holding the speed dial list before applicant can place a call to another destination other than the system or database holding the speed dial lists?

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,930,350 (Johnson).

With respect to claims 1 – 18, Johnson teaches a method of receiving a speed : dial list 122 associated to a telephone line via at least one of a computer network and a

telephone network (see Fig. 2), storing the speed dial list in a database (note 122), associating, in the database, the speed dial list with an identifier of the telephone line (See Fig. 4, Col. 6, lines 49 – 58 and Col. 8, lines 47 - 53), detecting that a telephone set has been connected to the telephone line (inherent) and identifying the telephone line to which the telephone set has been connected (also inherent), retrieving the speed dial list from the database based on said identifying (See Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 49 – 58 and see also Col. 5, lines 53 - 56 and Abstract), communicating the speed dial list to the telephone connected to the telephone line (Col. 4, lines 51 – 54).

Applicants' claims are so broad as to read on any system that has a speeddialing list remote from a phone. That is, before the list would be sent, of course a connection would have to be sensed by the system.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/18/2005 have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive to any error in the rejection above.

It is noted that applicants have explicitly refused to answer a simple question having to do with how the system works as noted in the 112 rejection above. It is unclear to the examiner how the system works by simply connecting the phone to a phone line. If the phone is still on hook, how is the connection sensed?

With the refusal to clear up this confusion, it appears that the rejections above are appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,049

Art Unit: 2642

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484.

In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number

(703) 872-9306.

17Jul05

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4